


(1) (15)

Exbt-1

GANG-01-000368-2025



Examined and Registered on civil Misc.
Panaji Application No. 52/2025
Dated: 24/03/2025
Asst. Sheristedar

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT
JUDGE, NORTH GOA AT PANAJI

CMA
Transfer Petition No. 52/2025.

Goa University,
A Body Corporate
Having Its Principal Place
At Taleigao Plateau ,
Through Its Vice Chancellor,
Having Office At University Campus

The Petitioner upon
Chief Engineer's receipt
in writing hereby withdraws
the present petition. The
matter may be disposed off
accordingly.
Sharma / 15/1/26

2
Taleigao Pleateau, Ilhas Goa 403206

Represented For the Purpose Of

This Transfer Application by The Registrar

Goa University Petitioner

V/S

1. Mr. Haroon Ibrahim
Major,

Son Of I.A Ibrahim Mohamed,

Residing At H.No 18/200/1

“haroons” new Taleigao By Pass Road,

P.O. Caranzalem Goa 403002

2. Public Works Department,

Sub Division 1 Division II (Roads)

Panaji , Goa

3. State of Goa

Through Chief secretary,

Having office at Secretariat,

Porvorim – Goa.

..... Respondents

Application for transfer under Section 24 of Civil

Procedure Code

Shun



MAY IT PLEASE YOUR HONOUR:-

1. The application for transfer is being filed in respect of Special Civil Suit 53/2012, which is pending before the District Judge-1 at Panaji. The evidence in the matter is over and the matter is at the stage of final arguments. It is stated that the matter had been almost fully argued at the stage of final arguments before the then Presiding Judge, Shri Bosco G.F.Roberts District Judge 1. The Advocate for the Plaintiff has concluded his arguments as also the Defendants Counsel has concluded the arguments and the Advocate for the Plaintiff was arguing in rejoinder. The Plaintiff had argued for one full hearing in rejoinder and the matter had been posted on 28/01/2025 for continuation of the arguments of the Plaintiff in rejoinder and reply if any on behalf of the defendants, at which stage the Judge expressed that he may not be in a position to complete the writing and pronouncement of the judgment on account of his transfer as the Additional District Judge at Ponda.
2. The Applicant states that the matter had been argued for several hearings extensively on behalf of the Plaintiff and the defendants except for completion of rejoinder of the Plaintiff



[Handwritten signature]

4

and a few submissions if at all on behalf of the Defendants, which would be completed in one hearing, the final arguments to a major extent were over. The matter is required to be heard, therefore before the same judge, before whom the matter is almost entirely argued on behalf of the Plaintiff and the Defendant. The hearing in the said Court could be conducted by way of videoconferencing and , if video conferencing is granted unto the advocates, there would also not be any problem to argue, especially considering that there is only one hearing which is required for conclusion of the arguments of the Plaintiff in the rejoinder and of the Defendant, if at all to reply to certain points, raised by the Plaintiff for the first time in the rejoinder.

3. It is stated that as the matter was extensively argued before the said judge, it is just and proper and in the interest of justice, that the arguments be continued before the same judge.
4. The Applicants therefore pray that the Application be allowed and the Civil Suit 53/12 be transferred to the Court of Additional District Judge at Ponda with liberty to the Parties to apply for hearing by video conferencing and appropriate

[Handwritten signature]



direction to the Additional District Judge to consider the prayer for hearing by way of video-conferencing.

Panaji

Dated: 11/2/2025

Petitioner through their Registrar

Advocate for Petitioner

(Adv. A. Hanihar)

Issue notice to Respondents
returnable on 26/03/2025
at 2.30pm.

[Signature]
25/3/25

ORDER

Application stands Dismissed
as withdrawn.

[Signature]
15/01/26

