GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

CORAM: Shri Juino De Souza: State Information Commissioner

Appeal No. 149/2019/SIC-II

K. Krubeshwaran, Flat No. A/F-2, Neelgagan Apartment, Arlem – Raia, Salcete Goa 403720.

..... Appellant

v/s

- The Assistant Registrar (Academic)/APIO, Goa University, Taleigao Plateau, Goa 403206.
- 2. The Principal, Don Bosco College of Engineering, Fatorda, Margao Goa 403602.
- 3. The Director, Agnel Technical Education Complex, Verna – Goa. 403 722
- 4.First Appellate Authority
 Agnel Institute of Technology & Design
 Assagao, Bardez Goa. 403 507.

..... Respondents

Relevant emerging dates:

Date of Hearing : 30-07-2019

Date of Decision : 30-07-2019

ORDER

- 1. **Brief facts of the case** are that the Appellant had filed a Second Appeal before this Commission registered on 27/05/2019, being aggrieved that the respective PIO's and First Appellate Authority have not passed any order in connection with the RTI Application dated 04/12/2018 that was addressed to the PIO, Goa University, Taleigao Plateau.
- 2. It is the case of the Appellant that the said RTI application was transferred by the PIO, Goa University to five Educational institutions namely 1. Shree. Rayeshwar Institute of Engineering & Information Technology, Shiv Shail, Karai, Shiroda, Goa 403 103. 2. Padre Conceicao College of Engineering, Agnel Ashram, Agnel Ganv, Verna, Goa 403 722.

 3. Goa College of Engineering, Farmagudi, Ponda, Goa 403401. 4. Don Bosco College of Engineering, Fatorda, Margao, Goa. 5. Agnel Institute of Technology & Design, Assagao, Bardez, Goa.2

Bush B

3/10

950 At Hoda

- 3. It is further the case of the Appellant that information was furnished by 1. Shree. Rayeshwar Institute of Engineering & Information Technology and 3. Goa College of Engineering and that the other three institutions being 2. Padre Conceicao College of Engineering, 4. Don Bosco College of Engineering and 5. Agnel Institute of Technology & Design have not furnished any information nor reply and hence the need for Second appeal.
- 4. HEARING: During the hearing the Appellant Shri. K. Krubeshwaran is present in person. Also present are the following: Respondent No.1 is represented by Smt. Sneha Talkar, Legal Assistant who is present along with Adv. Sugandha Naik. The Respondent No.2 is represented by Adv. Chuvo Barbosa. Respondent No. 3 and 4 both are represented by Adv. A. Carvalho. On behalf of Respondent No.4 is present Shri. Vivek Salaskar, Assistant Registrar (Agnel Institute of Tenchnology and Design, Assagao, Bardez Goa.
- 5. **SUBMISSIONS:** The Appellant at the outset Submits that he does not wish to pursue the Appeal case and is withdrawing the same with liberty to file fresh RTI Application with appropriate Public Authority to seek the said information. The Appellant files a written statement stating "This is to state that myself, K. Krubeshwaram, Appellant in the said Appeal would like to herewith withdraw my appeal to file a fresh RTI application to pursue the said information. The same is done by me in good faith. Hence, I herewith wish to withdraw this appeal immediately on this day 30th July 2019."
- 6. <u>DECISION:</u> The Appeal case accordingly stands "Dismissed as withdrawn"

All proceedings in Appeal case stands closed. Pronounced before the parties who are present at the conclusion of the hearing. Notify the parties concerned. Authenticated copies of the order be given free of cost.

Sd/(Juino De Souza)
State Information Commissioner