

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 64 OF 2008

PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER /
REGISTRAR GOA UNIVERSITY AND
ANR.,

... Petitioners

Versus

DR. U. A. VINAY KUMAR AND ANR.,

... Respondents

Mrs. A. A. Agni, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. N. K. Sawaikar, Advocate for Respondent No.1.

Coram:- N. A. BRITTO, J.

Date:- 10th July, 2008

P.C.:-

Heard Mrs. A. A. Agni, learned Counsel on behalf of the Petitioners and Mr. N. K. Sawaikar, learned Counsel on behalf of Respondent No.1.

Respondent No.2 is still in the process of finding out whether the Second Appeal filed before it by Respondent No.1 is premature and to consider the said objection the Commission has asked for certain details to be provided to it. It is only after the said details are provided that the Commission will be in a position to decide whether the said Second Appeal is premature or not. In such a situation, this is not a fit case to interfere in extraordinary jurisdiction.

Petition disposed of with the above observations.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

RD.