

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION WP NO. 20 OF 2012

SHRI PUNDALIK N. RAIKER AND 7
ORS.,

... Petitioners

Versus

THE STATE OF GOA THROUGH ITS CHIEF
SECRETARY AND 2 ORS.,

... Respondents

Petitioner no.1 in Person.

Mr. Pankaj Vernekar, Additional Government Advocate for
Respondent no.1.

Mrs. A. Agni, Advocate for Respondent no.2.

Dr. K.S. Rao for Respondent no.3

Coram:- A. P. LAVANDE &
U. V. BAKRE, JJ.

Date:- 30th August, 2012

P.C:

Affidavit-In-Reply filed on behalf of Respondent no.2 is taken on
record.

2. In paragraph 5 of the Affidavit-In-Reply, the Registrar, Goa
University has stated that a Committee to examine and review the
statute SSA 7 pertaining to the Students Council has been formed
and the meetings of the committee have been held. It has been
further stated that recommendations have been placed before the
Academic Council which has accepted the recommendations and the
matter is now pending for decision of the Executive Council,
whereafter the same would be placed before the Chancellor in terms
of the University statute SA2.

3. In view of the statement made in paragraph 5 of the
affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of respondent no.2 and in view of

Legal Section
Inward No. 321
Date: 13/9/12

P. Prater
13/9
P.N

the fact that elections to the University Representatives and Goa University Students Council are at the fag end, no purpose would be served in keeping the petition pending.

4. The petitioners are at liberty to take appropriate steps if they are aggrieved by the decision taken by the University.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

A. P. LAVANDE, J.

U. V. BAKRE, J.

ap/-