

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 752 OF 2008

MR. ANTONIO ROHAN OSWIN FERNANDES
BARRETO AND ANR., ... Petitioners
Versus
THE GOA UNIVERSITY THROUGH VICE
CHANCELLOR AND 2 ORS., ... Respondents

Mr. Joseph Vaz, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mrs. A. Agni, Advocate for Respondent no.1/University.
Mr. G. K. Sardesai, Advocate for the Respondent no.2.
Mr. S. Bhandodkar, Addl. Government Advocate for Respondent no.3.

Coram:- B. P. DHARMADHIKARI &
U. D. SALVI, JJ.

Date:- 22nd April, 2009

P.C.

Heard learned Counsel for the Petitioner, Advocate Ms. Agni for the University and Mr. Sardesai, Advocate for the Respondent no.2-College.

2. The Petitioner was seeking additional chance to clear the IInd Semester Examination and prosecute the courses in the meanwhile. On earlier date of hearing, a statement was made before us by learned Counsel for the Petitioner that the University has taken some decision in favour of the students. Today, the Counsel for University has produced copy of Circular dated 01.04.2009 with Circular dated 06.04.2009, wherein the University has allowed additional chance to the Petitioner.

3. It is, therefore, apparent that grievance of the Petitioner has been ventilated. However, the learned Counsel for the Petitioner says that respondent no.2-College should be directed to admit the Petitioner to further courses. Learned Counsel appearing for the respondent

no.2-College states that no such directions are necessary as respondent no.2-College is bound to act in terms of the University Circular.

4. In view of the statement, we find that it is not necessary to issue any directions to the respondent no.2-College in the matter. The grievance made by the Petitioner before us is ventilated. Hence, Petition is disposed off.

B. P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.

U. D. SALVI, J.

arp/*